

The Effect of Student Loans on Entrepreneurial Firm Risk-taking, Performance, and Access to Venture Capital with Implications for the Effects of Student Loan Forgiveness Programs on Entrepreneurship

(by Thomas J. Chemmanur, Karthik Krishnan, Harshit Rajaiya, and Pinshuo Wang)

Isha Agarwal

UBC Sauder

ISB Summer Research Conference
July 2025

Summary

- ▶ Can student debt affect the economy through the entrepreneurship channel?

Summary

- ▶ Can student debt affect the economy through the entrepreneurship channel?
- ▶ Uses the introduction of **no-loan financial aid policies** to answer this question
 - ▶ Changed the structure of financial aid provided to students - **replaced loans with grants**
 - effectively reducing student loan burden

Summary

- ▶ Can student debt affect the economy through the entrepreneurship channel?
- ▶ Uses the introduction of **no-loan financial aid policies** to answer this question
 - ▶ Changed the structure of financial aid provided to students - **replaced loans with grants**
- effectively reducing student loan burden
- ▶ Main findings: No-loan financial aid policies
 1. **positively affect risk taking** both at the extensive and intensive margin
 - ▶ Higher propensity of becoming an entrepreneur
 - ▶ Conditional on starting a firm, risk is higher
 2. **positively affect access to VC financing** both at the extensive and intensive margin
 - ▶ Such firms get more funding from VCs, VCs invest larger amount in these firms, and use staging to a lesser extent
 3. **positively affect firm performance**
 - ▶ Positive effect on sales, employment, innovation output

Summary

- ▶ Can student debt affect the economy through the entrepreneurship channel?
- ▶ Uses the introduction of **no-loan financial aid policies** to answer this question
 - ▶ Changed the structure of financial aid provided to students - **replaced loans with grants**
- effectively reducing student loan burden
- ▶ Main findings: No-loan financial aid policies
 1. **positively affect risk taking** both at the extensive and intensive margin
 - ▶ Higher propensity of becoming an entrepreneur
 - ▶ Conditional on starting a firm, risk is higher
 2. **positively affect access to VC financing** both at the extensive and intensive margin
 - ▶ Such firms get more funding from VCs, VCs invest larger amount in these firms, and use staging to a lesser extent
 3. **positively affect firm performance**
 - ▶ Positive effect on sales, employment, innovation output
- ▶ **Channel:** lower student loan burden increases net wealth and reduces risk aversion

Comments

- ▶ Important to understand the effects of student debt on the economy
 - ▶ Second largest consumer debt after mortgages
 - ▶ Was a big issue in the 2020 Presidential campaign
- ▶ The paper contributes by exploring the **benefits** of reducing debt burden
 - ▶ Most studies focus on career choices or financial outcomes, this paper offers a previously unexplored perspective
- ▶ Paper brings together multiple datasets: impressive data effort
- ▶ Comments
 - ▶ Clarity of the conceptual framework
 - ▶ Better connection between theory and empirical analysis

Conceptual Framework

- ▶ Two predictions:
 - ▶ Risk
 - ▶ Expected payoffs → financing and performance

Conceptual Framework

- ▶ Two predictions:
 - ▶ Risk
 - ▶ Expected payoffs → financing and performance
- ▶ Link between **net wealth and risk** clear
 - ▶ Lower student debt increases net wealth which decreases risk aversion, assuming decreasing absolute risk aversion (DARA) preferences
 - ▶ An increase in wealth would incentivize an agent to invest in a lottery (undertake a risky project) that the agent would have otherwise rejected at lower levels of wealth — higher levels of entrepreneurship

Conceptual Framework

- ▶ Two predictions:
 - ▶ Risk
 - ▶ Expected payoffs → financing and performance
- ▶ Link between **net wealth and risk** clear
 - ▶ Lower student debt increases net wealth which decreases risk aversion, assuming decreasing absolute risk aversion (DARA) preferences
 - ▶ An increase in wealth would incentivize an agent to invest in a lottery (undertake a risky project) that the agent would have otherwise rejected at lower levels of wealth — higher levels of entrepreneurship
- ▶ Link between **net wealth and expected payoffs**, particularly through the risk aversion channel not clear
 - ▶ The expected NPV of a project under no-loan financial aid policy (lower risk aversion) would be greater than the NPV of a project under standard loan policies because agents are less constrained by risk

Conceptual Framework

- ▶ Two predictions:
 - ▶ Risk
 - ▶ Expected payoffs → financing and performance
- ▶ Link between **net wealth and risk** clear
 - ▶ Lower student debt increases net wealth which decreases risk aversion, assuming decreasing absolute risk aversion (DARA) preferences
 - ▶ An increase in wealth would incentivize an agent to invest in a lottery (undertake a risky project) that the agent would have otherwise rejected at lower levels of wealth — higher levels of entrepreneurship
- ▶ Link between **net wealth and expected payoffs**, particularly through the risk aversion channel not clear
 - ▶ The expected NPV of a project under no-loan financial aid policy (lower risk aversion) would be greater than the NPV of a project under standard loan policies because agents are less constrained by risk
- ▶ Relationship between net wealth and expected payoff **theoretically ambiguous** and needs more structure

Model with project quality and DARA

- ▶ Individuals have wealth W , utility function with DARA preference:

$$U(C) = \frac{C^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0$$

- ▶ Two choices:

- ▶ Salaried employment: safe return $C = W + R$

- ▶ Risky entrepreneurship:

$$\begin{cases} W - k + H & \text{with prob } \theta \\ W - k & \text{with prob } 1 - \theta \end{cases}$$

- ▶ θ depends on the quality of the project

Entry decision

- ▶ Wage utility:

$$U^{\text{wage}} = \frac{(W + R)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

- ▶ Entrepreneur utility:

$$U^{\text{ent}} = \theta \cdot \frac{(W - k + H)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + (1-\theta) \cdot \frac{(W - k)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

- ▶ Entry occurs if $U^{\text{ent}} \geq U^{\text{wage}}$
- ▶ Indifference condition yields:

$$\theta^*(W) = \frac{(W + R)^{1-\gamma} - (W - k)^{1-\gamma}}{(W - k + H)^{1-\gamma} - (W - k)^{1-\gamma}}$$

- ▶ $\theta^*(W)$: minimum project quality needed for entry

Effect of wealth on threshold project quality

$$\frac{d\theta^*}{dW} = \frac{N'(W) \cdot D(W) - D'(W) \cdot N(W)}{[D(W)]^2}$$

Where

$$N(W) = (W + R)^{1-\gamma} - (W - k)^{1-\gamma}$$

$$D(W) = (W - k + H)^{1-\gamma} - (W - k)^{1-\gamma}$$

$$N'(W) = (1 - \gamma) [(W + R)^{-\gamma} - (W - k)^{-\gamma}]$$

$$D'(W) = (1 - \gamma) [(W - k + H)^{-\gamma} - (W - k)^{-\gamma}]$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d\theta^*}{dW} < 0 \quad \text{for } \gamma > 1}$$

For risk aversion parameter greater than 1, project quality declines with wealth!

- ▶ Consistent with agents with lower risk aversion requiring lower risk compensation (Hvide and Panos, 2014 JFE)

Heterogeneity in loan burden

- ▶ Empirical analysis compares treated universities with control universities
- ▶ Several confounding factors can be at play: demographics, alumni network, location, composition of majors
- ▶ Loan burden could be higher at universities offering programs that are correlated with entrepreneurship
- ▶ Matched controls and fixed effects address the issue to some extent
- ▶ Useful to do a more granular analysis at the intensive margin using intensity of loan reduction rather than using a binary indicator
- ▶ Propensity to become an entrepreneur could differ across majors. Include major fixed effects?

Does a reduction in student debt increase net wealth?

- ▶ Lower student debt → Higher net wealth
- ▶ The effect of student debt on net wealth would depend on
 - ▶ **Substitution between different debt types**
 - ▶ Dinerstein et al. (2025) find that for every dollar of student loan forgiven, mortgage, auto, and credit card debt went up by 9 cents
 - ▶ **Marginal propensity to consume.** If the additional dollar is saved, effect on wealth would be larger
- ▶ Important to think about these forces as the empirical analysis looks at firm entry 3-5 years after graduation

Risk aversion and VC financing

- ▶ Projects under no-loan aid policy get higher VC financing because VC firms believe these projects will generate higher payoffs
- ▶ What factors drive VC financing?
- ▶ **“How Do Venture Capitalists Make Decisions?” JFE (2020)**
 - ▶ Surveyed 885 VCs to learn about how they source investments, select companies, structure deals, and add value to those deals
 - ▶ Over 95% of the VC firms considered the founding team to be the most important factor
 - ▶ Fewer than 3% of the firms thought valuation was the most important factor
 - ▶ Valuation becomes more important for late stage financing
 - ▶ Among founder attributes, **ability** was the most important factor
- ▶ What was the effect of no-loan financial aid on students' learning outcomes? Could that be a confounding factor?

Risk aversion and VC staging

- ▶ Why do VCs provide financing in stages?
 - ▶ **Agency problems:** Abandon the project if it fails to meet certain targets (Admati and Pfleiderer, 1994; Gompers, 1995; Kaplan and Stromberg, 2003, 2004)
 - ▶ **Hold up problem:** Founder can leave for better career opportunities (Neher, 1999; Hart and Moore, 1994)
 - ▶ **Learning hypothesis:** VC learns about the project over time and has the option to abandon the project earlier (Bergemann and Hege, 1998; Fluck, Garrison, and Myers, 2007)
- ▶ How would higher risk aversion of the entrepreneur affect agency problems or hold up issues or information asymmetry?
- ▶ Clarifying the underlying economic mechanism would help understand the results better
- ▶ Can make the narrative tighter by focusing on key results such as access to VC financing

Other comments

- ▶ Cost benefit analysis for Biden's loan forgiveness program problematic as it does not take into account general equilibrium effects arising from
 - ▶ Crowding out of public investment in education
 - ▶ Current or anticipated higher tax burden can negatively affect consumption
- ▶ Loan forgiveness and federal grants also differ in terms of ex ante and ex post effects (moral hazard)
- ▶ Useful to clarify institutional details:
 - ▶ Sample restricted to students who were already enrolled before the policy was implemented
 - ▶ How would this affect loans for these students?
 - ▶ Students borrow upfront or get financing in stages?
 - ▶ Loan prepaid in case of upfront borrowing?
- ▶ Literature review can be expanded along two dimensions:
 - ▶ Other ways of reducing student loans (income driven repayment programs). How would the effects differ?
 - ▶ Papers related to VC financing, size of VC funding, staging, value added by VCs, startup performance

Conclusion

- ▶ Interesting findings on an explored dimension of student loan forgiveness
- ▶ Paper can be improved by
 - ▶ tightening the conceptual framework
 - ▶ better connecting the empirical analysis with the theory
 - ▶ narrowing the focus to key results